



## The Influence of the Media on Political Decisions

Layla Albadri

Academic International Publishers

E-mail: [laylaqaissvn@gmail.com](mailto:laylaqaissvn@gmail.com)

### Article Info.

#### Article history:

Received 10 October 2024

Revised 9 November 2024

Accepted 03 December 2024

#### Keywords:

Media, Politics, Public Opinion, News

#### How to cite:

Layla Albadri. (2024). The Influence of the Media on Political Decisions. Aca. Intl. J. Soc. Sci. H. 2024; 2 (2) 42-50

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.59675/S225>

#### Copyright:

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

### Abstract

The news media's function in contemporary political philosophy is restricted to acting as a go-between for the public and their representatives rather than as a rival source of political power. However, even while politicians continue to make official decisions, the media controls their space. Over the past few decades, political campaigns have evolved in character. In the early 1900s, newspapers were the only media with political influence; they were modified to reflect the impact of radio media on politics. Social media now affects us; later, television influenced society's politics. In addition to elections and political campaigns, social media significantly influences how society thinks about politics. Many researchers' opinions that examined the connection between politics and the media are the main subject of this narrative review and concluded that the leading media,

whether they are referred to as "liberal" or "conservative," are vast corporations that are linked to and owned by even more giant conglomerates. They offer a commodity for sale to a market, just like other businesses. Social media has revolutionized politics by providing channels for involvement, mobilization, and interaction that were impossible decades ago. The media significantly impacts politics, elections, and campaigns by determining the critical topics, which candidates will receive the most attention, and what standards they should apply when assessing candidates.

### Background of Study

Due to its level of global interaction, the media plays a vital role in our lives and profoundly affects society (Badawi & Albadri, 2022). Contemporary institutions in the public and corporate sectors are carefully constructed to regulate the assimilation and diffusion of information. The quickly expanding data processing companies clearly understand the importance of information and how to regulate it in contemporary social frameworks (Donohue et al., 1973).

When World War I ended the monarchy in Germany, a social-democratic administration was in power in Berlin. Communists then attempted to remove this new administration. Controlling the media, in the view of both the government and the Communists, would imply controlling public opinion and the broader public. Thereby, a violent struggle for press influence took place. Newspapers have significantly impacted politics, culture, business, and millions of people's lives over the past few centuries.

In a democracy, public opinion is meant to be the most critical factor in politics. The electorate chooses the nation's leaders; their job is to communicate people's views. Governments worldwide are known to consult public opinion polls on various significant matters since they are curious about the public's perception of their administration. Therefore, the policy should be significantly impacted whenever public opinion is expressed openly, and the government is aware of it.

Historians have recognized newspapers as initiating, supporting, and justifying wars. They mention the Franco-Prussian War (1870–1871), the Spanish-American War (1898), and the Vietnam War (1955–1975). Many businesses, scientists, celebrities, and lawmakers have suffered losses because of a scandal that was reported in the media. Actual journalism triggered a chain of events that led to the resignation of U.S. President Richard M. Nixon during the historic Watergate affair in the middle of the 1970s. Yes, the media can be a powerful force to be reckoned with, for good or evil.

The term "power" describes how dominant organizations worldwide use authority and language in the media (newspapers, television, advertisements) to uphold their authority. Our society's public institutions have a variety of powers. Controlling the flow of information—what enters the press and how it is presented is a crucial power (Albadri, 2016).

The term "power" refers to the influence of powerful institutions within our society and how they use language to keep their influence, such as through the media (newspapers, television, advertising). Controlling the dissemination of knowledge, including what is reported in the press and how it is presented, is crucial authority. The primary tool for influencing public opinion is the public media. Moreover, if one can influence public opinion, one can alter (or enhance) the existing power systems. The phrase "manufacture of consent" is used in the media to describe how one can act in a particular manner if one can persuade the public to accept their right to do so (Herman & Chomsky, 2021).

### **Politics and the Media**

Conflicts of interest between various social and political groupings can have a particularly significant negative media effect. Research has shown that the efficient use of mass media contributed to the pogroms in Nazi Germany and the Rwandan Genocide. Situations with strategic complementarities can also lead to significant positive media impacts; for instance, they can catalyze revolutions against non-democratic authorities, as with the Arab Spring. Recognizing the audience share bias that the media introduces and ensuring that minority groups' views are represented (Strömberg, 2015).

Let us start by acknowledging that politics is a social endeavor. According to Mouffe (1993), the political "indicates the ensemble of discourses, institutions, and practices that aim at establishing an order; at organising human coexistence, in a context that is always conflictual because of the presence of the political." It also refers to "the potential antagonisms inherent in human relations."

Thus, politics can be regarded as a social organisation through conflict, at least in class societies based on competing interests (Trottier & Fuchs, 2015). Political disputes used to be resolved in private by senior party members. However, in the current environment, journalists settle disputes, run in the mass media, and resolve in the court of public opinion. Politicians make settlements only after the public game of "media politics" has determined their relative strength (Zaller, 1999).

Governments, political parties, businesses, and other formally recognised entities are examples of influential institutions. For example, suppose a political party has some influence over a newspaper or television station. In that case, they can influence the content disseminated through those outlets and how it is communicated. The intensification of media monitoring enhances political responsibility, and increased media participation is linked to better policy results. Several of these studies also employ methodologies that fairly conclusively pinpoint causal media impacts (Strömberg, 2015).

These days, one may wonder if the typical person hoping to impact politics should sign up for a political party or start using social media to connect with news-making gatherings where they might attempt to sway journalists, opinion leaders, and other voters. Influential people can better influence the hybrid political information flows because they possess the means and know-how (Chadwick, 2015). Societal change impacts parties' structure and character; this is just one aspect of modernizing. Another component is the development of the media as an independent power center (Swanson, 1996).

The media frequently believes in the political, cultural, and social spheres, particularly when a community wishes to accept and disseminate a specific notion among its members. When people believe that the media is listening to them instead of to politicians or marketing firms, or when it is indeed "public," public media appear to be at their strongest (Al-Badri, 2023). Funding arrangements and oversight bodies that establish a direct connection between public media and its viewers encourage accountability, involvement, and engagement among citizens (Benson & Powers, 2011). However, for all the right reasons, the journalists were drawing the audience's attention to the attempts at media control they were seeing. Still, by doing so, they promoted the notion that elections were nothing more than a contest for a specific image (Axford & Huggins, 2000).

The media significantly impacts politics, elections, and campaigns by determining the critical topics, which candidates will receive the most attention, and what standards they should apply when assessing candidates. The government also impacts the media, and it may utilize it as a political tool to promote the ruling party's political agenda. The public, government, and media have cyclical connections that impact one another but are not absolute because external variables shape positions (Chandrappa, 2014).

### **Controlling the Media**

Media independence is a controversial concept that conveys various implications in different situations. Independence can be debated on several fronts as a normative ideal, and media regulators, scholars, journalists, and organizations frequently use the phrase in different manners. In journalism and media policy, independence is a fundamental normative value. From many angles, the media industries, activists, journalists, researchers, and regulators talk about media independence. Variable actors have definitions of independence in different situations, such as

discussions on the role of media in authoritarian nations, the status of public service broadcasters in Europe, and "indie" media. To increase their legitimacy and credibility in the eyes of the public, colleagues, and legislators, media organizations and actors declare their independence—from the government, the market, or mainstream conventions. At the same time, defining media independence has become increasingly challenging (Karppinen & Moe, 2016).

As time went on, media became increasingly complex. With the growth of publications and social media in recent decades, there has been intense rivalry and quick development. Today's media is more than an instrument for disseminating information; it also serves as a platform for enjoyment, opinion formation, and increased revenue (Albadri & Badawi, 2020). The American news media has been in a crisis for the past few years. At the same time, there appears to be a single crisis, multiple serious, connected ones involving technology, audiences, the economy, and the workforce. How political information is disseminated is experiencing a technical crisis; in the US, it is widely believed that new methods are changing how news is distributed. Barnhurst (2011) states, "Journalism has always been forced to change when technology changes," and the development of the Internet has significantly influenced the political landscape (Winner, 1978).

According to Loader & Mercea (2011), Chen, Chan, & Lee (2016), Valenzuela (2013), and Lee & Chan (2018), recent studies on the relationship between the Internet and political activity have revealed the critical role that digital media plays in influencing various types of political engagement and igniting widespread social uprisings worldwide.

However, Anderson and Cornfield (2003) demonstrate that critics have warned of the risks associated with electronic democracy, not the least of which is the possibility of citizen fragmentation and the exploitation of the public by politicians and elites. According to Agre (2002), the Internet is a beautiful medium for projecting a society's ambitions and concerns because it promises ubiquitous information. Politics is the only field where these imagined hopes and anxieties are expressed in such detail. The political process is being rebuilt extensively in emerging information and communications technologies; it is closely linked to national and, consequently, personal identity, but it is also, by its very nature, a constant source of disappointment. It is important to remember that the Internet includes many media formats and a wide range of content creators, such as private citizens, political players, and traditional mass media (Strömbäck, 2008). As political debates gained popularity on television, their formats evolved to accommodate the needs of the media, not those of the candidates or the public (Esaiasson & Håkansson, 2002), (Kraus, 2000). Meanwhile, the legal protection afforded to public service broadcasters and the size of the news market in their respective countries determines their political independence (Sey & Castells, 2015).

Strömbäck et al. (2009) believe that even in debates, the media did not transmit it neutrally. Instead, for several days before the debates, the media speculated about the debates and their importance, thus shaping people's expectations. The candidates and their staff participated in this "expectation game." Still, regardless of whether the media were driving the expectation game, the media put their independent mark on it by adding their speculations and bringing in commentators and pundits to talk about it. Thus, the media significantly shaped their expectations when people watched the debates and the candidates' performances.

People want to be free to support specific media channels in this day and age when information availability has increased dramatically due to the Internet. Any public support for journalism must be created from the ground up in close collaboration with representational civil society organizations. Any public approach to supporting media needs the approval of people in general to be efficient (Dragomir, 2018).

Public and private media are less likely to be biased when the advertising market is significant. However, the effect on private media is more critical, making it more likely that the government will take over private media to meet the needs of the growing advertising market. Because public media is more likely to be biased than private media, there may only sometimes be a link between media freedom and advertising market size. Gehlbach & Sonin, 2014).

Let us look at another sample from the comparatively open-ended area of political debate. Every opposing party or political force seeks to establish its discourse style as the desired and eventually "natural" one to discuss the state, the federal government, politics, and all other facets of politics and isolate politics from those fields (Fairclough, 2013).

Skepticism is often justified, mainly when what is said refers to the public interests of the nation where a journal is published. Afterwards, what happens? Reality is frequently compromised. As was the case with English politician Arthur Ponsonby in the 20th century, once noted: "When war is declared, truth is the first casualty."

The media's influence on modern politics drives us to consider the type of society and world we want to live in (Chomsky, 2011). The mainstream press, and especially the elite media, are companies that "sell" privileged consumers to other companies. These media establish the agenda that others typically follow. It would not be shocking if the viewpoints and interests of the sellers, the buyers, and the object were reflected in the vision they portray. Media control is highly concentrated and growing.

Additionally, those who hold management roles in the media or achieve status as commentators do so because they are members of the same privileged elites. As a result, it is reasonable to assume that they will share their peers' preconceptions, desires, and beliefs, indicating their class interests. It is difficult to say one thing and think another; common mechanisms typically weed out those who do not comply. Writers joining the system will only succeed if they agree to these ideological conditions, usually by accepting the values (Chomsky, 1995).

Though it is frequently covered with other terms, the ideological system defends itself with anger against a challenge to the right of speaking in the name of power. In contrast, submitting this same ideological system to rational investigation elicits incomprehension or outrage. Over time, the states seek practices that reflect the goals of those who control them by the righteousness of their domestic power. These truisms are barely expressible in the mainstream; however surprising this fact may be, we must adopt fundamentally different standards from what is generally accepted (Chomsky, 1995).

Each competing political party or force seeks to establish its discourse style as the preferred and ultimately "natural" one for speaking and writing about the state, the federal government, politics,

and any other features of politics, in addition to dividing politics into different fields (Fairclough, 1989).

The leading media, whether they are referred to as "liberal" or "conservative," are vast corporations that are linked to and owned by even more giant conglomerates. They offer a commodity for sale to a market, just like other businesses. The market consists of marketers or other companies. Additionally, the product is for comparatively privileged audiences for the elite media, which sets the primary agenda to which others adapt. As a result, large corporations are giving other businesses access to wealthier and more privileged audiences. Unsurprisingly, the limited and skewed interests and values of the sellers, the buyers, and the merchandise are reflected in the displayed worldview. Various factors, such as cultural directors, prominent opinion writers, and sharing class affiliations and goals with the government, corporate executives, and other privileged groups, support the same deformation. High-level individuals frequently move between corporations, the government, and the media. "Leaks" are frequently fabrications and deceit made by the authorities with the assistance of the media, who claim they are unaware (Chomsky, 1992).

State authorities expect cooperation and submission in exchange. A powerful defamation and vilification mechanism and the stock market are tools other power centers use to punish those who deviate from normal (Chomsky, 1992). Of course, there are variations in the results. The media must paint a tolerably realistic image of the world to advance the interests of the wealthy. "Professional integrity and honesty sometimes interfere with the overriding mission." The best journalists generally understand the elements that influence the media product and look to take advantage of any opportunities that arise. Consequently, reading the news skeptically and critically can significantly teach (Chomsky, 1992, p. 55).

A broader doctrinal system has many components, including academic scholarship, journals of opinion, schools and universities, and, of course, the media. Because those who critically assess ideology have concentrated on the media, especially the prestige media, we are much more conscious of them. Because it is more challenging to examine systematically, the more extensive system has yet to be studied as much. However, as one might expect, there is a reason to think it also represents the media's interests (Chomsky, 1992, p. 57).

The doctrinal system has two goals when producing "propaganda" regarding enemies. One goal is what is occasionally referred to as the "political class," or the 20 percent of people who are educated, better qualified, and involved in decision-making. They must embrace doctrine to create and carry out policy, so this is important. The remaining 80% or so of the populace is the next group. These are the "spectators of action," or "bewildered crowd," as Lippmann put it. They are expected to comply with instructions and stay out of the way of influential individuals. They are the focus of the actual mainstream media, including tabloids, the Super Bowl, sitcoms, and other outlets (Chomsky, 1992)

These doctrinal areas are scheduled to mislead the uneducated masses and support fundamental social values, such as passivity, submission to authority, the value of self-benefit and greed, disregard for others, fear of actual or imagined foes, etc. The intention is to maintain the herd's confusion. They don't need to worry about what's going on in the outside world. In truth, if they take in too much realism, they might try and alter it. That is not to suggest that the wider public cannot affect the media. Public influences can affect the dominant organizations, whether political,

financial, or doctrinal. Substitute independent media outlets can be crucial. By drawing together individuals with restricted resources who can increase their efficiency and their knowledge through their interactions precisely the democracy threat that dominant elites are so afraid of to gain importance even though they almost by definition lack sources (Chomsky 1992, p .55).

Anthony Lewis of the New York Times notes that the media used to be as independent, defiant, and vigilant of authority as they are today. Still, in the Vietnam and Watergate periods, they learned to employ "the power to root about in our national life, exposing what they consider right for exposure," regardless of external pressures, governmental or private power demands, or both; this is also a widely held opinion (Chomsky, 1995).

However, the news media keeps us informed about what is happening worldwide, which is crucial in today's society. Moreover, doing so is essential because we cannot rely on just about everything we read on the news; even individuals who work in the media industry advise caution. Even well-intentioned editors occasionally tell inaccurate stories. A writer says that journalists typically work quickly. There is a race between newspapers; everyone aims to disseminate the news first. Because of this, many of us, despite our willingness, cannot produce a well-researched article (Murcia & O'Donnell, 2011).

Thanks to modern technology, it is now simpler for activists to contribute their viewpoints to the mix of ideologically biased news (Prior, 2013). Social media could become a component of young people's political knowledge repertoire when the social system acknowledges its value for political communication, and they get more accustomed to and at ease with utilizing it for political reasons. The field of social media research is expanding. As they advance and gain traction, more research is necessary to comprehend their effects on political decision-making fully Kushin (M & Yamamoto, 2010).

## **Conclusion**

Over the past few decades, political campaigns have evolved in character. In the early 1900s, newspapers were the only media with political influence; they were modified to reflect the impact of radio media on politics. Social media now affects us; later, television influenced society's politics. In addition to elections and political campaigns, social media significantly influences how society thinks about politics. The leading media, whether they are referred to as "liberal" or "conservative," are vast corporations that are linked to and owned by even more giant conglomerates. They offer a commodity for sale to a market, just like other businesses. The market consists of marketers or other companies. The media significantly impacts politics, elections, and campaigns by determining what topics are important, which candidates will receive the most attention, and what standards they should apply when assessing candidates.

Social media has revolutionized politics by offering channels for involvement, mobilization, and interaction that were impossible decades ago. It has a significant and wide-ranging impact on politics, influencing everything from public discourse and government to elections. There are severe problems with the increasing amount of false information and misleading headlines on social media. False information has the power to erode democratic processes by influencing public opinion.

For many people, social media networks are an essential source of news and information. Journalists and politicians use these platforms to spread information swiftly, as the difficulties of

sustaining knowledgeable and fair public conversation on social media have been brought to light by the increase of fake news and false information. More public criticism of politicians' acts is made possible by social media. Citizens and independent observers use these forums to expose wrongdoing and injustice in politicians. On the other hand, Politicians and governments utilize social media to spread news regarding spending, policy choices, and government activities to increase transparency.

## References

1. Agre, P. E. (2002). Real-time politics: The Internet and the political process. *The information society*, 18(5), 311-331.
2. Al-Badri, L. (2023). The media's role in transmitting cultural dialogue. *Academic International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 1(1), 17-21.
3. Albadri, L. Q. A. (2016). *Investigating Readability and Grammaticality of Newspapers Headlines, A Case Study of the Telegraphic and The New York Times* (Doctoral dissertation, Sudan University of Science and Technology).
4. Albadri, L. Q., & Muhamed Badawi, A. M. (2020). Investigating Article Omission in Newspaper Headlines. *Dirassat in Humanities & Social Sciences*, 3(3).
5. Anderson, D. M., & Cornfield, M. (Eds.). (2003). *The civic web: Online politics and democratic values*. Rowman & Littlefield.
6. Axford, B., & Huggins, R. (Eds.). (2000). *New media and politics*. Sage, p.224.
7. Badawi, A. M. M., & Albadri, L. Q. (2022). Analyzing The Use of The Present Simple in Five of The Best Newspapers by Circulation in The English Language. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 9451-9457.
8. Barnhurst, K. G. (2011). The new “media affect” and the crisis of representation for political communication. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 16(4), 573-593.
9. Benson, R., & Powers, M. (2011). Public media and political independence. *Lessons for the Future of Journalism from Around the World*. New York: New York University.
10. Chadwick, A., Dennis, J., & Smith, A. P. (2015). Politics in the age of hybrid media: Power, systems, and media logics. In *The Routledge companion to social media and politics* (pp. 7-22). Routledge.
11. Chandrappa, K. (2014). The influence of the media in politics-campaigns and elections. *International journal of science and research*, 3(12), 2310-2312.
12. Chen, H. T., Chan, M., & Lee, F. L. (2016). Social media use and democratic engagement: A comparative study of Hong Kong, Taiwan, and China. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 9(4), 348-366.
13. Chomsky, N. (1992). What Uncle Sam really wants.
14. Chomsky, N. (1995). Necessary illusions: Thought control in democratic societies. House of Anansi.
15. Chomsky, N. (2011). Media control: The spectacular achievements of propaganda. Seven Stories Press.
16. Donohue, G. A., Tichenor, P. J., & Olien, C. N. (1973). Mass media functions, knowledge and social control. *Journalism Quarterly*, 50(4), 652-659.
17. Dragomir, M. (2018). Control the money, control the media: How government uses funding to keep media in line. *Journalism*, 19(8), 1131-1148.
18. Esaiasson, P., & Håkansson, N. (2002). Besked ikväll: valprogrammen i svensk radio och TV.
19. Fairclough, N. (2013). *Language and power*. Routledge.

20. Gehlbach, S., & Sonin, K. (2014). Government control of the media. *Journal of public Economics*, 118, 163-171.
21. Hales Victoria, (2023). Can Public Opinion Change the Course of History? The University of Sheffield. Volume 1 | Issue 6 - Open Theme.
22. Hanretty, C. (2009). *The political independence of public service broadcasters* (Doctoral dissertation, European University Institute).
23. Herman, E. S., & Chomsky, N. (2021). Manufacturing consent. In *Power and Inequality* (pp. 198-206). Routledge.
24. Karppinen, K., & Moe, H. (2016). What we talk about when talk about “media independence”. *Javnost-The Public*, 23(2), 105-119.
25. Kraus, S. (2000). *Televised Presidential Debates and Public Policy*. 2nd ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
26. Kushin, M. J., & Yamamoto, M. (2010). Did social media really matter? College students' use of online media and political decision making in the 2008 election. *Mass communication and society*, 13(5), 608-630.
27. Lee, F. L. F., & Chan, J. M. (2018). *Media and protest logics in the digital era: The Umbrella Movement in Hong Kong*. Oxford University Press.
28. Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, communication & society*, 14(6), 757-769.
29. Mouffe, Chantal. 1993. *Return of the Political*. London: Verso.
30. Murcia, S., & O'Donnell, M. (2011). Language and power in English texts. Course material developed for a PhD Program at the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.
31. Prior, M. (2013). Media and political polarization. *Annual review of political science*, 16(1), 101-127.
32. Sey, A., & Castells, M. (2015). From media politics to networked politics: the Internet and the political process. In *Mousepads, Shoe Leather, and Hope* (pp. 225-232). Routledge.
33. Strömbäck, J. (2008). Four phases of mediatization: An analysis of the mediatization of politics. *The international journal of press/politics*, 13(3), 228-246.
34. Strömbäck, J., Esser, F., & Lundby, K. (2009). Shaping politics: Mediatization and media interventionism.
35. Strömberg, D. (2015). Media and politics. *Annual Review of Economics*, 7(1), 173-205.
36. Swanson, D., & Mancini, P. (1996). Politics, media, and modern democracy. *New York*.
37. Trottier, D., & Fuchs, C. (2015). Social media, politics and the state. *Protests, Revolutions, Riots, Crime and Policing in the Age of Facebook, Twitter and YouTube*. New York and London: Routledge.
38. Valenzuela, S. (2013). Unpacking the use of social media for protest behavior: The roles of information, opinion expression, and activism. *American behavioral scientist*, 57(7), 920-942.
39. Winner, L. (1978). *Autonomous technology: Technics-out-of-control as a theme in political thought*. Mit Press.
40. Zaller, J. (1999). A theory of media politics. *Manuscript, October*, 24(1999), 61-101.